

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/781,492	02/13/2001	Magdy S. Abadir	SC11403TS	9497
7590 11/05/2003			EXAMINER	
Motorola, Inc.,			THOMPSON, ANNETTE M	
Austin Intellectual Property Law Section 7700 West Parmer Lane, MD: TX32/PL02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78729			2825	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
• Advisory Action	09/781,492	ABADIR ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	A. M. Thompson	2825	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2)	ion fee under as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 11 and 18.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 8-10,12-15,17,19-22 and 24-31.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examine	er.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ∠						
10. Other:	(A. M. Thompson Master's Level Patent I Art Unit: 2829	Examiner			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/781,492

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The Declaration is considered untimely (MPEP 716.01); 2) The application lists two of the inventors of the technical paper used in the 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) rejection but only 1 declaration signed by one named inventor was provided.